

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION**

THE STATE OF TEXAS, et al,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 4:20-cv-00957-SDJ

**[PROPOSED] ORDER ON PARTIES’
JOINT MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER**

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b), a scheduling order may be modified “only for good cause.” Having considered the Parties’ Joint Motion Pursuant to Rule 16(b) to Modify Scheduling Order to Provide Brief Extensions of Expert Discovery Period and Summary Judgment/Daubert Deadlines, the Court finds that good cause exists to modify the scheduling order in this case. The Motion is GRANTED.

It is therefore ORDERED that:

1. The Scheduling Order in this case shall be modified as follows:

<u>Event</u>	<u>Current Deadline</u>	<u>New Deadline</u>
Disclosure of rebuttal expert testimony	8/27/2024 or 9/3/2024	9/9/2024

Close of Expert Discovery	10/5/2024 or 10/12/2024	11/1/2024
Summary Judgment and Daubert Motions due	11/9/2024	11/18/2024
Oppositions to Summary Judgment and <i>Daubert</i> Motions	11/30/2024	12/9/2024
Replies to Summary Judgment and <i>Daubert</i> Motions	12/14/2024	12/23/2024

Signed this _____, day of _____, 2024.

Judge Sean D. Jordan